

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached "Replacement Sheet" of drawings includes changes to Figure 2. The attached "Replacement Sheet," which includes Figures 1 and 2, replaces the original sheet including Figures 1 and 2.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet, Page 1/4

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, and 39-44 are now pending in the application. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, and 41-44 stand rejected. Claims 39 and 40 are allowed. Claims 1 and 41 have been amended. Support for the amendments can be found throughout the application, drawings and claims as originally filed and, as such, Applicant submits that no new matter has been presented. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

### **APPLICANT INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for speaking to Erica Schaefer on Thursday, June 8, 2006. During the conversation, the pending claims were discussed along with proposed claim amendments. Applicant believes the claims have been amended as suggested by the Examiner to place the application in condition for allowance.

### **DRAWINGS**

Figure 2 has been amended to correct minor informalities. In Figure 2, the reference number 52 on the plunger has been changed to 54. As this feature was included in the specification as filed, no new matter has been presented. The Examiner's approval of this drawing change is respectfully requested.

#### **REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 AND ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, and 41-44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, and 41-44 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Applicant has amended independent Claims 1 and 41, as suggested by the Examiner, to place these claims in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant submits independent Claims 1 and 41 are patentable and now in condition for allowance. Further, as Claims 2, 3, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, 42-44 depend from Claims 1 or 41, which are now believed to be in condition for allowance, Applicant believes Claims 2, 3, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, 42-44 are also patentable and in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, and 41-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 112.


#### **CONCLUSION**

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner

believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 12, 2006

By: 

Richard W. Warner  
Reg. No. 38,043  
Erica K. Schaefer  
Reg. No. 55,861

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

RWW/EKS/sms

G:\eschaefe\5490\000316\Amendment due 8-2-06\316Amendment.doc